Graphical Models Inference and Learning Lecture 8

MVA 2019 – 2020

http://thoth.inrialpes.fr/~alahari/disinflearn

Recall

- Graphical Models
 - Directed vs Undirected
 - Representation and Modeling
- Problem formulation
 - Energy/cost function
- MAP estimation
 - Belief propagation, TRW, graph cuts, LP relaxation, primal-dual, dual decomposition
- Learning
 - Maximum likelihood, max-margin learning

Recall



This class

- Bayesian Networks
 - Parameter Learning
 - Structure Learning
 - Inference

But first...

A quiz !

1. How would you parameterize $MRF_{G}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{u}^{k}, \mathbf{h}^{k}) = \sum_{p} u_{p}^{k}(x_{p}) + \sum_{c} h_{c}^{k}(\mathbf{x}_{c})$ for learning?

- 2. Name two parameter learning approaches for MRFs.
- 3. What loss functions would you use for these approaches? Recall $\min_{\mathbf{w}} R(\mathbf{w}) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} L_G(\mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{z}^k; \mathbf{w})$

This class

- Bayesian Networks
 - Parameter Learning
 - Structure Learning
 - Inference

Bayesian Networks

- A general Bayes net
 - Set of random variables
 - DAG: encodes independence assumptions
 - Conditional probability trees
 - Joint distribution

$$P(Y_1,...,Y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(Y_i | \operatorname{Pa}_{Y_i})$$

Bayesian Networks

• Example



Independencies in problem

World, Data, reality:



True distribution *P* contains independence assertions



BN: Graph G encodes local independence assumptions

Slide courtesy: Carlos Guestrin, Dhruv Batra

Learning Bayesian Nets



Learning Bayesian Nets





Slide credit: Carlos Guestrin, Dhruv Batra

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

- Goal: Find a good θ
- What is a good θ ?
 - One that makes it likely for us to have seen this data
 - Quality of θ = Likelihood(θ ; D) = P(D| θ)
- Why MLE?
 - -Log-likelihood(θ) = entropy(P*) KL(P*, P(D| θ))
 - i.e., maximizing LL = minimizing KL

MLE: Learning the CPTs



Slide credit: Carlos Guestrin, Dhruv Batra

- Exploit priors
 - Priors: Beliefs before experiments are conducted
 - Help deal with unseen data
 - Bias us towards "simpler" models

• Beta prior distribution

$$P(\theta) = \frac{\theta^{\alpha_H - 1} (1 - \theta)^{\alpha_T - 1}}{B(\alpha_H, \alpha_T)} \sim Beta(\alpha_H, \alpha_T)$$
constant

Slide courtesy: Dhruv Batra

Posterior

$$P(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta) = \theta^{m_H} (1 - \theta)^{m_T}$$
$$P(\theta) = \frac{\theta^{\alpha_H - 1} (1 - \theta)^{\alpha_T - 1}}{B(\alpha_H, \alpha_T)} \sim Beta(\alpha_H, \alpha_T)$$

 $P(\theta \mid D) \propto P(D \mid \theta)P(\theta)$ $P(\theta \mid D) \sim Beta(m_H + \alpha_H, m_T + \alpha_T)$

• MAP: use most likely parameter

$$\widehat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} P(\theta \mid \mathcal{D})$$

$$P(\theta \mid D) \sim Beta(m_H + \alpha_H, m_T + \alpha_T)$$

- Beta prior equiv. to extra H/T
- As m \rightarrow inf, prior is "forgotten"
- But, for small sample size, prior is important !

- What about the multinomial case?
- Use a Dirichlet for the prior

$$heta \sim \mathsf{Dirichlet}(lpha_1, \dots, lpha_k) \sim \prod_i heta_i^{lpha_i - 1}$$

Meta BN: Bayesian view of BN

- Show parameters explicitly as variables
- Two examples (on board)

Global parameter independence

• All CPT parameters are independent

– Common assumption

• Prior over parameters is product of prior over CPTs, i.e.,

$$P(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) = \prod_{i} P(\theta_{X_i \mid \mathbf{Pa}_{X_i}} \mid \mathcal{D})$$

Parameter Sharing

Consider the scenario, where n random variables X₁, X₂, ... X_n represent coin tosses of the same coin.

• What is the corresponding BN?



Parameter Sharing

Plate notation



Hierarchical Bayesian Models

• Why stop with a single prior?



Graphical model representation of LDA. The boxes are "plates" representing replicates. The outer plate represents documents, while the inner plate represents the repeated choice of topics and words within a document.

Summary: Learning BN

• MLE

Decomposes; results in counting procedure

- Bayesian estimation
 - Priors = regularization (smoothing)
 - Hierarchical priors
- Plate notation
- Shared parameters

Known Tree Structure



Distribution $P_T(x)$

$$v_{p(a)}$$
 = "parent" of v_a

 $P_T(x_5|x_3)P_T(x_4|x_1)P_T(x_3|x_0)P_T(x_2|x_0)P_T(x_1|x_0)P_T(x_0)$

Estimate $P_T(x_a|x_{p(a)}) = P(x_a|x_{p(a)})$

Known Tree Structure



Distribution $P_T(x)$

$$v_{p(a)}$$
 = "parent" of v_a

 $P_T(x_5|x_2)P_T(x_4|x_2)P_T(x_3|x_2)P_T(x_2|x_0)P_T(x_1|x_2)P_T(x_0)$

Estimate $P_T(x_a|x_{p(a)}) = P(x_a|x_{p(a)})$ Which tree?

Learning Bayesian Nets





Slide credit: Carlos Guestrin, Dhruv Batra

- Prediction: Care about a good structure => good prediction
- Discovery: Understand some system



Slide credit: Carlos Guestrin, Dhruv Batra



• Recovered



- Constraint-based approach
 - Test conditional independencies in data
 - Find an I-map
- Score-based approach
 - Finding structure and parameters => density estimation task
 - Evaluate model, similar to parameter estimation
 - MLE
 - Bayesian estimation





- Say there are N vertices?
- How many (undirected) graphs in the search space?
- How many (undirected) trees?

- What is a good score?
- How about log-likelihood?
 Score(G) = log-likelihood(G: D, θ_{MLE}) = log P(D|G, θ_{MLE})
- How do we interpret this Max Likelihood score?
 Consider a two-node graph (on board)

Kullback-Leibler Divergence

$$KL(P_1||P_2) = -\sum_x P_1(x) \log P_2(x) + \sum_x P_1(x) \log P_1(x)$$
Constant

 $KL(P_1||P_2) \ge 0$

 $KL(P_1||P_1) = 0$

Substitute $P_1 = P$ and $P_2 = P_T$. Minimize $KL(P \parallel P_T)$

$$min - \sum_{x} P(x) \log P_T(x)$$

$min - \sum_{x} P(x) \sum_{a} \log P_T(x_a | x_{p(a)})$

$$min - \sum_{x} P(x) \sum_{a} \log \frac{P_T(x_a, x_{p(a)}) P(x_a)}{P_T(x_{p(a)}) P(x_a)}$$

$$min - \sum_{x} P(x) \sum_{a} \log \frac{P_T(x_a, x_{p(a)})}{P_T(x_{p(a)}) P(x_a)}$$



Independent of the tree structure

$$min - \sum_{a} \sum_{x_a} \sum_{x_{p(a)}} P(x_a, x_{p(a)}) \log \frac{P_T(x_a, x_{p(a)})}{P_T(x_{p(a)})P(x_a)}$$

 $min - \sum_{a} I(x_a, x_{p(a)})$

Mutual Information



• For a general graph G,

$$\log \hat{P}(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta, \mathcal{G}) = m \sum_{i} \sum_{x_i, \mathbf{Pa}_{x_i, \mathcal{G}}} \hat{P}(x_i, \mathbf{Pa}_{x_i, \mathcal{G}}) \log \hat{P}(x_i \mid \mathbf{Pa}_{x_i, \mathcal{G}})$$

$$\log \hat{P}(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta, \mathcal{G}) = m \sum_{i} \hat{I}(X_i, \mathbf{Pa}_{X_i}) - m \sum_{i} \hat{H}(X_i)$$

Slide credit: Carlos Guestrin, Dhruv Batra

$$\log \hat{P}(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta, \mathcal{G}) = m \sum_{i} \hat{I}(X_i, \mathbf{Pa}_{X_i}) - m \sum_{i} \hat{H}(X_i)$$

- Implications
 - Intuitive: higher mutual info \rightarrow higher score
 - Decomposes over families (nodes and its parents)
 - Information never hurts!
 - But....

• Adding an edge only improves score!

– Thus, MLE = complete graph

- Two fixes
 - Restrict space of graphs
 - Say only d parents allowed
 - Put priors on graphs
 - Prefer sparser graphs

Chow-Liu Tree Learning - I

For each pair of variables X_i, X_j

- Compute the empirical distribution

$$\widehat{P}(x_i, x_j) = \frac{\operatorname{Count}(x_i, x_j)}{m}$$

- Compute mutual information

$$\widehat{I}(X_i, X_j) = \sum_{x_i, x_j} \widehat{P}(x_i, x_j) \log \frac{\widehat{P}(x_i, x_j)}{\widehat{P}(x_i) \widehat{P}(x_j)}$$

- Define graph
 - Nodes X₁, X₂,..., X_n
 - Edge (i,j) gets weight $\widehat{I}(X_i, X_j)$

Chow-Liu Tree Learning - II

• Optimal tree BN

- Compute maximum weight spanning tree

- Directions:
 - Pick any node as root
 - Direct edges from root (breadth-first search for example)

- Bayesian score
 - => Prior distributions
 - Over structures
 - Over parameters of a structure
- Posterior over structures (given data)

 $\log P(\mathcal{G} \mid D) \propto \log P(\mathcal{G}) + \log \int_{\theta_{\mathcal{G}}} P(D \mid \mathcal{G}, \theta_{\mathcal{G}}) P(\theta_{\mathcal{G}} \mid \mathcal{G}) d\theta_{\mathcal{G}}$

Bayesian Score: Structure Prior

 $\log P(\mathcal{G} \mid D) \propto \log P(\mathcal{G}) + \log \int_{\theta_{\mathcal{G}}} P(D \mid \mathcal{G}, \theta_{\mathcal{G}}) P(\theta_{\mathcal{G}} \mid \mathcal{G}) d\theta_{\mathcal{G}}$

- Common choices
 - Uniform: $P(G) \alpha c$
 - Sparsity prior: $P(G) \alpha c^{|G|}$
 - Prior penalizing number of parameters
 - P(G) should decompose like the family score

Bayesian Score: Parameter Prior & Integrals

 $\log P(\mathcal{G} \mid D) \propto \log P(\mathcal{G}) + \log \int_{\theta_{\mathcal{G}}} P(D \mid \mathcal{G}, \theta_{\mathcal{G}}) P(\theta_{\mathcal{G}} \mid \mathcal{G}) d\theta_{\mathcal{G}}$

- If P(θ_G | G) is Dirichlet, then the integral has closed form!
- And, it factorizes according to families in G

Bayesian Score: Parameter Prior & Integrals

$$\log P(\mathcal{G} \mid D) \propto \log P(\mathcal{G}) + \log \int_{\theta_{\mathcal{G}}} P(D \mid \mathcal{G}, \theta_{\mathcal{G}}) P(\theta_{\mathcal{G}} \mid \mathcal{G}) d\theta_{\mathcal{G}}$$

- How should we choose Dirichlet hyperparameters?
 - K2 prior: Fix an α , P($\theta_{Xi|PaXi}$) = Dirichlet(α ,..., α)
 - BDe Prior: Pick a "prior" BN
 - Compute $P(Xi, Pa_{Xi})$ under this prior BN

- **Question**: Are these score-based approaches really Bayesian?
- So far, we have selected only one structure
- We must average over structures
 Similar to averaging over parameters

This class

- Bayesian Networks
 - Parameter Learning
 - Structure Learning
 - Inference

Flu

Headach

Sinus

- Evidence **E**=**e** (e.g., N=t)
- Query variables of interest Y
- Conditional probability: P(Y | E=e)
 - -e.g., P(F,A | N=t)
 - Special case: Marginals P(F)
- Maximum a posteriori: argmax P(all var | E=e) — argmax_{f,a,s,h} P(f,a,s,h | N=t)

Allergy

Nose=1

- Evidence **E**=**e** (e.g., N=t)
- Query variables of interest Y



Marginal-MAP: argmax_y P(Y | E=e)

– argmax_y Σ_o P(Y=y, O=o | E=e)

- Are MAP and max of marginals consistent?
- Verify with this example:



- In general, (at least) NP-hard
- In practice,
 - Exploit structure
 - Many effective approximate algorithms
- We will look at
 - Exact and approximate inference

- Variable Elimination
- Sum-product belief propagation
- Sampling: MCMC
- Integer programing (LP relaxation)
- Combinatorial optimization (e.g., graphcuts)

Marginal Inference

- Consider the example
 - Evidence: N=t
 - Compute: P(F | N=t)
- (On board)



Variable Elimination

- Given a BN and a query $P(Y|e) \approx P(Y,e)$, MPORTANT!!!
- Choose an ordering on variables, e.g., $X_{1},...,X_{n}$
- For i=1...n, if X_i ∉{Y,E}
 - Collect factors $f_1...f_k$ that include X_i
 - Generate a new factor by eliminating X_i from them

$$g = \sum_{X_i} \prod_{j=1}^k f_j$$

• Normalize P(Y,e) to obtain P(Y|e)

MAP Inference



 Maximum a posteriori: argmax P(all var | E=e) — argmax_{f,a,s,h} P(f,a,s,h | N=t)

Variable Elimination for MAP Inference

- Given a BN and a query $\max_{x1...xn} P(x_1...x_n, e)$,
- Choose an ordering on variables, e.g., X₁,...X_n
- For i=1...n, if $X_i \notin E$
 - Collect factors $f_1...f_k$ that include X_i
 - Generate a new factor by eliminating X_i from them

$$g = \max_{x_i} \prod_{j=1}^k f_j$$

• (This completes the forward pass)

Variable Elimination for MAP Inference

- {x₁^{*}...x_n^{*}} will store the maximizing assignment
- For i=n...1, if $X_i \notin E$
 - Take factors $f_1...f_k$ used when X_i was eliminated
 - Instantiate $f_1...f_k$ with $\{x_{i+1}^*...x_n^*\}$
 - Generate maximizing assignment for X_i :

$$x_i^* \in \operatorname*{argmax}_{x_i} \prod_{j=1}^k f_j$$

• (This completes the backward pass)