Hands, objects, and videotape: recognizing object interactions from streaming wearable cameras

Deva Ramanan UC Irvine



Students doing the work



Greg Rogez

Post-doc, looking to come back to France!



Hamed Pirsiavash

Former student, now post-doc at MIT



Mohsen Hejrati

Current student

Motivation 1: integrated perception and actuation

Motivation 2: wearable (mobile) cameras



Google Glass





-Data analysis: Analyze big temporal data



-Functional prediction: what can user do in scene?









N

maninges. -hands have a higher (effective) DOFs than bodies



Past approaches



Skin-pixel classification: Li & Kitani, CVPR13, ICCV13

Motion segmentation: Ren & Gu, CVPR10, Fathi et al CVPR 11

Observation: RGB-D saves the day

Produces accurate depth over "near-field workspace"



Mimic near-field depth from human vision (stereopsis)





Does depth solve it all?

Hand detection in egocentric views



Our approach

Make use of massive synthetic training set Mount avatar with virtual egocentric cameras



Use animation library of household objects and scenes

Our approach

Make use of massive synthetic training set Mount avatar with virtual egocentric cameras



Use animation library of household objects and scenes Naturally enforces "egocentric" priors over viewpoint, grasping poses, etc.

Recognition





Nearest-neighbor on volumetric depth features



Results

1st Candidate

Ground-truth annotations



Best of top 10 Candidates



Ground-truth annotations (RGB)



Rogez et al, ECCV 14 Workshop on Consumer Depth Cameras

Ablative analysis



Depth & egocentric priors (over viewpoint & grasping poses) are crucial

Ongoing work: hand grasp region prediction

Functionally-motivated pose classes



(Though we are finding it hard to publish in computer vision venues!)



-Data analysis: Analyze big temporal data



-Functional prediction: what can user do in scene?



Temporal data analysis

Challenges: -analyze large collections of temporal big-data vs YouTube clips

- some daily activities can take a long time (interrupted)
- some daily activities exhibit "internal structure" (more on this)



Classic models for capturing temporal structure

Boil
$$\leftrightarrow$$
 Wait \leftrightarrow Steep

Markov models

Classic models for capturing temporal structure

Boil
$$\leftrightarrow$$
 Wait \leftrightarrow Steep

Markov models

... but does this *really* matter?

Maybe local bag-of-feature templates suffice

"Making tea" template







time

P. Smyth "Oftentimes a strong data model will do the job"

But some annoying details...

How to find *multiple* actions of differing lengths? Can we do better that window scan of O(NL) + heuristic NMS ?

> N = length of video L = maximum temporal length



Insufficiently well-known fact

We can do all this for 1-D (temporal) signals with grammars



"The hungry rabbit eats quickly"

Application to actions



Context-free grammars (CFGs): surprisingly simple to implement but poor scalabity $O(N^3)$

Our contribution: many restricted grammars (like above) can be parsed in O(NL) *In theory & practice, no more expensive than a sliding window!*

Real power of CFGs: recursion

e.g., rules for generating valid sequences of parenthesis

"((())))))" $S \to \{\}$ $S \to (S)$ $S \to SS$

If we don't make use of this recursion, we can often make do with a simpler grammar.



Regular grammar: $X \rightarrow uvw$ $X \rightarrow Yuvw$

Intuition: compile regular grammar into a semi-markov model





Semi-markov models = markov models with "counting" states

But aren't semi-markov models already standard?

Action segmentation with 2-state semi-markov model: (Shi et al IJCV10, Hoai et al CVPR11)



Model subactions with 3-state semi-markov model: Tang et al CVPR12 (+ NMS?)





Single model enforces temporal constraints at multiple scales (actions, sub-actions)



Use production rules to implicitly manage additional dummy / counting states used by underlying markov model

Inference



Maximum segment-length



Scoring each segment

video data (D)

i j

 $S(D, r, i, j) = \alpha_r \cdot \phi(D, i, j) + \beta_r \cdot \psi(j - i) + \gamma_r$

lpha : data model

 β : prior over length of segment \sim

 γ : prior of transition rule r = $X \to Y$

Learning

(segment data model α , segment length prior β , and rule transition prior

Supervised:







Results



run release throw



Results



Latently inferred subactions appear to be **bend** and **jump**.

Baselines

Action segmentation with 2-state semi-markov model: (Shi et al IJCV10, Hoai et al CVPR11)

Model subactions with 3-state semi-markov model: Tang et al CVPR12 + NMS

Results for action segment detection (AP)



Pirsiavash & Ramanan, CVPR14



-Data analysis: Analyze big temporal data



-Functional prediction: what can user do in scene?



Object touch (interaction) codes

Label object surfaces with body parts that come in contact with them



hands mouth arms back bum hands bum feet

Dataset of interaction region masks







monitor



















Alternate perspective

Prediction of functional landmarks



How hard is this problem?

Benchmark evaluation of several standard approaches

Blind regression (from bounding box coordinates) Regression from part locations Bottom-up geometric labeling of superpixels Nearest neighbor matching + label transfer

Desai & Ramanan "Predicting Functional Regions of Objects" Beyond Semantics Workshop, CVPR13

...

Some initial conclusions



-Difficulty varies greatly per object

Harder to ride a bike than sit on sofa (or watch TV)!

Blind prediction of bottle & TV regions works just as well as anything else

-Nearest neighbor + label transfer is the winner Simple and works annoyingly well (though considerable room for improvement)

Strategic question



How to build models that produce detailed 3D landmark reports for general objects?

Recognition by 3D Reconstruction











Input: 2D image

Output: 3D shape camera viewpoint

Overall approach: "brute-force" enumeration of 3D hypotheses



Enumerate hypotheses θ = (shape,viewpoint) and rendered HOG images $w(\theta)$

Find one that correlates best with query image

A model of 3D shape and viewpoint

1) 3D shape of object = linear combinations of 3D basis shapes



2) Standard perspective camera model

$$p(\theta) \sim C(R, t, f)B$$
$$\theta = (\alpha, R, t, f)$$

(shape coefficients, camera rotation, translation, focal length)

View & shape-specific templates



Treat each θ_n as unique subcategory (e.g., side-view SUVs) and learn template for it







Challenge: rare shapes & views



We need lots of templates, but will likely have little data of 'rare' car views

Zhu, Anguelov, & Ramanan "Capturing long-tail distributions of object subcategories" CVPR14

Long tail distributions of categories (cf. LabelMe)

PASCAL 2010 training data







Soln: share information with parts



Use 'wheels' from common views/shapes to help model rare ones

Some formalities

Cast recognition and reconstruction as a maximization problem



 $S(I,\theta) = w(\theta) \cdot I$ $\theta^* = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Omega} S(I, \theta)$

Templates with shared parts



$$S(I,\theta) = \sum_{i \in V(\theta)} w_i^{m_i(\theta)} \cdot \phi(I, p_i(\theta))$$

V: set of visible parts m_i : local mixture of part i p_i : pixel location of part i θ



Templates with shared parts



$$S(I,\theta) = \sum_{i \in V(\theta)} w_i^{m_i(\theta)} \cdot \phi(I, p_i(\theta))$$

$$\theta^* = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Omega} S(I, \theta)$$

How do we define set of valid $\theta \in \Omega$?

One option: just use set of shapes/views observed in training set

Sharing

Helps address "one-shot" learning (subcategory seen at least once)



What about shapes/views that are never seen ("zero-shot" learning)?

Shape synthesis



Shape synthesis



Sharing versus synthesis



Part models perform implicit shape synthesis Zhu et al, BMVC 2012

+ Don't need to pre-synthesize

- Limited to simplistic shape models with efficient inference (stars, trees, springs,...)

Sharing versus synthesis



Part models perform implicit shape synthesis

+ Don't need to pre-synthesize

- Limited to simple shapes with efficient computation (trees, springs,...)

Instead, lets *explicitly* synthesize shapes with a graphics engine

+ Can synthesize arbitrary shapes (e.g. 3D)- Need to pre-synthesize millions of shapes

Aside: learning a 3D synthesis engine from 2D keypoints

•Stack all 2D landmarks into a large matrix; in noise-free case, it must be rank 3K (K=# of basis shapes)

•Learn shape basis with rank-based non-rigid SFM (Torresani et al CVPR01)



Hejrati & Ramanan, NIPS12

Explicit set of synthesized templates



(Most shapes never seen during training)

Example detections

Azimuth : -70 , Elevation : 0 , f : 0.125 Alpha : [0.0.0 0]			
		111/2 11112	
		 A - [] [] A - A and a cost is a - A - [] and [] [] A - A and [] A - A b - A - A c - A - A	
			He stock

Car detection + reconstruction

Azimuth : 66 , Elevation : 30 , Alpha : [0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0]





Inference





Inference



(1) Pre-compute tables of part responses (2) Score each template with lookup table (LUT) queries

With efficient LUTs, (1) is bottleneck

Supervised lear $S(I, \theta) = w \cdot \Phi(I, \theta),$













Learn classifiers for never-beforeseen templates with synthesis

(Apply sparse learning tricks to deal with large set of negatives)

Evaluation - SUN Primitive dataset



Quantitative performance



Quantitative performance



Tune () (set of quantized 3D parameters) to a fixed size by vector quantization

 $|\Omega| = \{20, 50, 100, 500, 1000\}$

Anytime recognition + 3D reconstruction

Search through Ω in a coarse-to-fine fashion



Car recognition/reconstruction results



UCI Car





-Data analysis: 'big' temporal data



-Recognition as 3D reconstruction

