Automatic summarization of video data

Presented by Danila Potapov

Joint work with:

Matthijs Douze Zaid Harchaoui Cordelia Schmid

LEAR team, Inria Grenoble

Khronos-Persyvact Spring School 1.04.2015

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Definition

- A video summary
 - built from subset of temporal segments of original video
 - conveys the most important details of the video



Video summary



Original video, and its video summary for the category "Birthday party"

Overview of our approach

- produce visually coherent temporal segments
 - no shot boundaries, camera shake, etc. inside segments
- identify important parts

Input video (category: Working on a sewing project)

 category-specific importance: a measure of relevance to the type of event

KTS segments

Contributions

- temporal video segmentation algorithm
- novel approach for supervised video summarization
- MED-Summaries: dataset for evaluation of video summarization

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Kernel temporal segmentation

- input: robust frame descriptor (SIFT + Fisher Vector)
- kernelized Multiple Change-Point Detection algorithm
- solved exactly with dynamic programming in $O(mn^2)$
- optimization criterion: minimize the sum of within-segment variances
- automatic calibration of the number of change points with a BIC-like regularizer



Kernel matrix and temporal segmentation of a video

Supervised summarization

- Training: Train a linear SVM from a set of videos with just video-level class labels.
- Testing: Score segment descriptors with the classifiers trained on full videos. Build a summary by concatenating the most important segments of the video.

Input video (category: Working on a sewing project)



MED-Summaries dataset

- 100 test videos (= 4 hours) from Trecvid MED 2011
- multiple annotators
- 2 annotation tasks:
 - segment boundaries (median duration: 3.5 sec.)
 - segment importance (grades from 0 to 3)



Central frame for each segment with importance annotation for category "Changing a vehicle tyre".

Evaluation metrics for summarization (1)

- often based on user studies
 - time-consuming, costly and hard to reproduce
- Our approach: rely on the annotation of test videos
- ground truth segments $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^m$
- computed summary $\{\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_j\}_{j=1}^{\tilde{m}}$
- coverage criterion: duration $(S_i \cap \widetilde{S}_j) > \alpha P_i$



importance ratio for summary S of duration T

$$\mathcal{I}^*(\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}) = rac{\mathcal{I}(\widetilde{\mathbf{S}})}{\mathcal{I}^{\max}(\mathbf{T})}$$

total importance covered by the summary max. possible total importance for a summary of duration **T**

Evaluation metrics for summarization (2)

 a meaningful summary covers a ground-truth segment of importance 3



・ コット (雪) (小田) (コット 日)

• segmentation *f-score*: match when overlap/union $> \beta$

Experiments

Baselines

- Users: keep 1 user in turn as a ground truth for evaluation of the others
- SD + SVM: shot detector (Massoudi, 2006) for segmentation + same importance scoring
- KTS + Cluster: same segmentation + k-means clustering for summarization

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

sort segments by increasing distance to centroid

Our approach

KVS = KTS + SVM

Results

| Method | Segmentation | Summarization |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Avg. f-score | Med. MSD (s) |
| | higher better | lower better |
| Users | 49.1 | 10.6 |
| SD + SVM | 30.9 | 16.7 |
| KTS + Cluster | 41.0 | 13.8 |
| KVS | 41.0 | 12.5 |

Segmentation and summarization performance



Importance ratio for different summary durations

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Examples summaries

Uniform sampling



0.089

0.064

0.047

0.032

Conclusion

- KVS delivers short and highly-informative summaries, with the most important segments for a given category
- KVS is trained in a semi-supervised way
 - does not require segment annotations in the training set
- MED-Summaries publicly available dataset
 - annotations and evaluation code available online: http://lear.inrialpes.fr/people/potapov/

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Thank you for your attention!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ ∃ のへで

References

- MED-Summaries dataset lear.inrialpes.fr/ people/potapov/med_summaries.php
- D. Potapov, M. Douze, Z. Harchaoui, C. Schmid "Category-specific video summarization", ECCV 2014

Related work

- M. Sun et al. "Ranking Domain-specific Highlights by Analyzing Edited Videos", ECCV 2014
- M. Gygli et al. "Creating Summaries from User Videos", ECCV 2014

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)